

Jurisdiction and Venue

Admits to allegations as set forth rhetorical paragraphs 2, Plaintiff's Complaint but is without sufficient knowledge in order to respond to allegations as set forth in rhetorical paragraphs 1,3,4, 5 and 6.

Preliminary Statement

Respondent specifically denies allegations set forth in rhetorical paragraph 7 of Plaintiff 's alleging any failure, conspiracy , or omission on the part of the Respondent and specifically denies that he ever held the position as officer or Treasurer of said CXKM.

Facts Particular to David DeSormeau

Respondent specifically deny allegations as set forth in rhetorical paragraph 14, 15 and 17. Respondent admits that he owns Business Works, Inc. but denies receiving 47,145,500 shares of common stock, surrendering 150 certificates through February 2003 through August 2004, or ownership of Part Time Management. Respondent admits to serving in the capacity as resident agent for Diamond Quality, Inc, Part Time Management, Inc. and Silver Fox Capital.

Facts Particular to John Edwards

Respondent does not have sufficient knowledge in order to respond to allegations as set forth in paragraphs 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, and 26 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

First Claim For Relief

Respondent specifically denies allegations as set forth in rhetorical paragraphs 28, 29 and 30 of Plaintiff's Complaint and is without sufficient knowledge to Plaintiff's Complaint in order to respond to allegations as set forth in paragraphs 31, 32, 33, and 34.

Second Claim For Relief

Respondent specifically deny allegations as set forth in rhetorical paragraphs 35, 37, 38, 39, 40 and that part of rhetorical paragraph 36 that refers to Respondent David DeSormeau.

Third Claim for Relief

Respondent specifically deny allegations as set forth in rhetorical paragraphs 41, 42, 43, 44, and 45 of Plaintiffs' complaint.

Fourth Claim For Relief

Respondent specifically deny allegations as set forth in rhetorical paragraphs 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, and 52 of Plaintiff's complaint.

Fifth Claim For Relief

Respondent specially deny allegations as set forth in rhetorical paragraphs 53, 54, 55 and 56 of Plaintiff's complaint.

Sixth Claim For Relief

Respondent specifically deny allegations as set forth in rhetorical paragraphs 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, and 62 of Plaintiff's Complaint.

Seventh Claim For Relief

Respondent specifically deny allegations as set forth in rhetorical paragraphs 63, 64, 65 and 66 of Plaintiff's complaint.

Eighth Claim For Relief

Respondent specifically deny allegations as set forth in rhetorical paragraphs 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, and 72 of Plaintiff's complaint.

Ninth Claim For Relief

Respondent specifically deny allegations as set forth in rhetorical paragraphs 73 and 74 of Plaintiff's complaint.

Tenth Cause of Action

Respondent specifically deny allegations as set forth in rhetorical paragraphs 75 and 76 of Plaintiff's complaint.

Affirmative Defense

Comes now Respondent, **David DeSormeau**, and for his Affirmative Defense states and alleges as follows:

1. That at no time was he an Officer or Director of CMKM Diamonds and was under no duty or obligation to resign or inform share holders or the general public of any activities of CMKM, its officers or directors.
2. That said David DeSormeau was neither compensated as an officer or director nor ever held himself out to be an officer or director of said CMKM or granted authority to any person or persons to notify the general public or individuals to the contrary.
3. That any listing or representation of the Respondent serving as a Director or Officer was done without his permission and knowledge.
4. That at no time was said David DeSormeau in any position to have knowledge of the planning, inter-workings or strategies of CMKM, its Officers, its Directors or any actions of individuals that are a part of this action.

COUNTER CLAIM

Comes now Respondent, **David DeSormeau**, and files his Counter Claim and states as follows:

1. That the Respondent restates and incorporates by reference rhetorical paragraphs 1, through 76 of Plaintiff's Complaint
2. That Respondent restates and incorporates by reference allegations as set forth in rhetorical paragraphs 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Respondent's Affirmative Defense.
3. That the Plaintiff's false and malicious filing of written allegations as set forth in this action has defamed and libeled the Respondent.
4. That the Plaintiff has made oral statements to the general public that are false and malicious and has defamed and Slandered the Respondent.
5. That the Plaintiff demonstrated reckless disregard, fraud and malicious intent in the filing of this action.

Pray for Relief

Wherefore, Respondent **David DeSormeau**, pray that:

Plaintiff takes nothing from its Claims For Relief as set forth in Plaintiff's Pray for Relief paragraphs First through Eight.


That Plaintiff's cause of action against Respondent be dismissed.

That Respondent Counter Claimant be granted relief pursuant to his Counter Claim.

That the Respondent Counter Claimant be awarded monetary relief in an amount commiserate with his claim.


Order Plaintiff to pay Respondent- Counter Claimant punitive damages

That Respondent be awarded reasonable Attorney fees and cost.


David DeSormeau
Respondent, pro se

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the forgoing Answer and Counter claim has be forwarded to the Plaintiff's attorney of record, G. Gene Hurd, George E. Cromer, Esq., 612 South Third Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 by first class Unites States mail on this the 21 day of May, 2007.


David DeSormeau
Respondent, pro se